

"As all regular readers of (this) publication are surely aware, Moseley's sense of humor is almost legendary. One may perhaps label him 'The Voltaire of the UFO movement', in view of his perceptive and witty satires."

- ROBERT SHEAFFER, writing in his book "The UFO Verdict" (Page 153)

"You are.....a boil on the ass of ufology."

- JOHN KEEL, writing in the March 25th, 1977 issue of "Saucer Glues"

DEDICATED TO THE HIGHEST PRINCIPLES OF UFOLOGICAL JOURNALISM

SAUCER SMEAR

OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE SAUCER AND UNEXPLAINED CELESTIAL EVENTS RESEARCH SOCIETY

MAILING ADDRESS:

P.O. Box 163
Fart Lee, N.J.07024

NON-SCHEDULED NEWSLETTER

Vol. 29, No. 6
July 15th, 1982

EDITOR AND
SUPREME COMMANDER:

James W. Moseley

A BRIEF NARRATIVE, WHEREIN YOUR HUMBLE EDITOR
ATTENDS A COMBINATION MUFON SYMPOSIUM AND
UFOLOGICAL "UNITY CONFERENCE" IN SUNNY TORONTO

Not being qualified for membership in MUFON (for obscure reasons) your editor has, till now, avoided attending the annual symposiums (or possibly "symposia"?) which have occurred in various cities around the U.S.A. for the past decade or so. This year, however, we were intrigued by the fact that the 13th annual MUFON conclave was to be followed the very next day by a "UFO Summit Conference" under the auspices of MUFON. The fact that the affair was to take place in a plush hotel in downtown Toronto - a city we visited several times in our long-gone mad youth, - added to our determination to cross the border into chilly Canada for this rare opportunity to see North America's numero uno UFO club in action.

As indicated in past issues of "Smear", the "vib" previous to the convention caused us to fear that MUFON might attempt to dominate the Unity meeting. We thought they would downgrade the fact that the idea for it came originally from Pete Mazzola of New York's Scientific Bureau of Investigation (SBI) - a relatively obscure UFO club. As it turned out, our fears were unwarranted. Mazzola was given ample participation in the MUFON conference, where he presented a slide lecture with professional skill laced with spontaneous humor. At the Unity Conference the next day, Mazzola shared the head table with Dr. J. Allen Hynek, William Moore, Walter Andrus, and Sherman Larsen; and whereas Mazzola's talk there was only okay (inside joke), he nevertheless made it onto the "steering committee" of the proposed federation (as yet unnamed) of UFO clubs which, if it indeed fulfills its destiny, will begin a new era in Ufology.

In addition to Pete Mazzola of New York's SBI, the "steering committee" consists of: Mimi Hynek, the charming Deaness (?) of Ufology & wife of Dr. J. Allen H. of CUFOS; Walt Andrus, esteemed International Director of MUFON; Charles Wilhelm, Director of OUFOIL of Cincinnati, Ohio; John Schuessler of NASA who is a Deputy Director of MUFON; Rick Hilberg of Cleveland's UAPA (and a member of the National UFO Conference); and last but probably least, Canada's Henry McKay of MUFON, who was the local host of this year's Toronto convention. There was no representation for APRO; author Bill Moore, representing APRO as an "observer", explained that APRO wishes to remain on the sidelines for the time being at least, re this Unity attempt.

(Miraculously, NICAP - also not represented on the committee - lives on in spite of our obituary in a recent issue of "Smear". Sherman Larsen, in a lecture to the MUFON convention, explained that the files remain available under his auspices, though NICAP will have no dues, publications, or members. Incidentally, Major Keyhoe lives on as an individual, at age 86, and is currently "Director of Government Affairs" for MUFON - whatever that means.)

We would like to take almost an entire paragraph to emphasize our newly-found respect for Walt Andrus, who conducted both the MUFON symposium and the Unity Conference with an admirable professionalism and lack of bias. The above-mentioned 7-person "steering committee" was merely a group of volunteers from the audience of about 35 people who attended the Unity Conference. There was an obvious effort on Andrus' part to avoid stacking the deck in any way, regarding suggested goals or personnel - though by happy coincidence the composition of the committee shows a reasonably fair distribution between geographical regions and existing UFO clubs. We should add that John Schuessler was made chairman of the committee - an excellent choice in our opinion.

Getting back to the MUFON symposium itself, which occupied the 2½ days previous to the Unity binge, we should admit first off that in spite of great efforts and personal sacrifice, etc., we did not attend all of the available lectures. They were designed to pretty well cover the whole day from early morning till early evening - and our life-style can't quite cope with that sort of thing. We did, however, make sure we made it to the best ones, of which a few are reviewed below:

Most impressive to us was John Schuessler, talking about "The Cash/Landrum Radiation Case", where the evidence is overwhelming that these 3 people have a severe case of radiation poisoning, and may even die prematurely because of their unfortunate UFO encounter in December of 1980 on a lonely Texas highway. We wish, however, that Schuessler had explained more about his investigation into the non-medical aspects of the case, - e.g., apparently no tests were ever made on the pavement of the road, which was then resurfaced several months later.

Paul B. Norman of Australia gave a rambling account of the fascinating Frederick Valentich case of a couple of years back - in which a young Australian private pilot described at length to a control tower a frightening bout he was having with a UFO near his plane, - and then disappeared forever! Norman read a transcript he had obtained of the conversation with the control tower.

"Smear's" Most Professional Speaker Award goes to Bill Moore, apparent successor to Stanton Friedman. He lectured on the Roswell, New Mexico crashed disc case, which was the subject of his well-known book with Charles Berlitz. Moore also received the lion's share of the TV and newspaper publicity before & during the MUFON convention - a la Friedman. Moore's "bombshell" this time was a Government document which he self-censored, purporting to prove that the U.S. Government still quietly pursues the UFO mystery and still considers it Top Secret. Moore dramatically threatened to reveal the censored details if (shudder!) anything happens to him. We feel that he would be a hard man to Hush Up!

Dr. Michael Persinger developed a fascinating thesis regarding UFOs, which he calls "luminosities" and which he considers to be strong electromagnetic disturbances of natural origin. His strong suit is his medically-oriented detailing of the adverse effects of electromagnetism on the human brain - e.g. "hearing voices", loss of memory, etc. This analysis would apply just as well, obviously, if the UFOs were of "artificial" origin but nevertheless had strong magnetic fields. Space prevents us from giving full details here regarding this novel, highly sophisticated approach to the UFO subject.

Dr. Hynek gave a short but important lecture on "Preparing for the next UFO Flap". Other speakers included Arthur Bray, Dr. George & Iris Owen, Bjame Hakansson of Sweden, David Haisell, Walt Andrus, and inevitab-

ly Henry McKay, local host. Sherman Larsen and Pete Mazzola also spoke, as already noted. In all - a professionally produced presentation, and a credit to the MUFON hierarchy.

It has been suggested by one of the Toronto attendees that perhaps your editor, through "Saucer Smear", represents the "conscience of ufology". Assuming that ufology does have a conscience, we would still prefer to think of ourselves as even more elevated than that: Jack Anderson with a sense of humor; Lenny Bruce in one of his rare "straight" moments; Richard Nixon as he talked to the White House paintings in the Last Days; Voltaire farting at the dinner table; Etc. Yes, we'll reel on with "Smear" for awhile longer, "calling 'em as we see 'em".

As for the new UFO super-group born in Toronto, we wish them well. Over the years the concept has been tried several times with dismal results, but hopefully this attempt will be more successful.

NEWS BRIEFIES:

Don't forget our extra-special social get-together here at Headquarters on the evening of Saturday, July 31st. For details, phone 201-869-8053.....June 23rd saw the death of Admiral Roscoe H. Hillenkoetter, first director of the CIA and former member of NICAP's Board of Governors. The Admiral was 85 years old. The UFO mystery (starting from the Kenneth Arnold sighting in 1947) became 35 years old the day following the Admiral's demise. (Our thanks to non-subscriber "E.S." for this item.)In our review of the recent Cleveland convention, we forgot to thank Tom Benson for his startling private demonstrations of the fabulous "Jumping Disc" - a small disc which can jump up right off a flat surface, following a time-tested scientific principle. Unfortunately, we never saw the disc actually move. Science was not working that day, apparently....At press-time, we have just received a semi-threatening anonymous letter, which Space does not permit us to print. Maybe next issue.....

MISCELLANEOUS RAVINGS:

After all these years, it is difficult for us to imagine a serious UFO theory that we have not already heard of, somehow, somewhere; but it seems that non-subscriber Prof. Alvin Lawson of California State College has come up with such a theory in the April 1982 issue of the MUFON UFO Journal, and that it deserves serious consideration by all of us.

We refer to Lawson's belief, based on careful research, that the typical UFO "abduction" story, as told under hypnosis, is in reality the long-suppressed memory of birth and pre-natal experiences. Lawson suggests that these "abduction" stories are actually our elaboration of the frightening event of birth, with a UFO motif thrown in, triggered by an objectively real UFO sighting and/or the suggestion of the hypnotist. Space does not permit us to go into details here, but UFO buffs should turn their attention to the article by Robert Wanderer (weird name!) called "Birth Trauma and 'Abductions'"; which is the lead article in MUFON's April bulletin. The idea is not as ridiculous as it may sound offhand to some!

After writing the above, we received a long & very interesting letter from Prof. Lawson, in answer to an encouraging postcard we had sent to him. He has asked us not to quote from the letter at all - so we won't; but we can tell you that the gist of it seems to be his amazement and amusement at the less-than-enthusiastic reception his theories have received from hard-core UFO researchers since he first presented them 2 or 3 years ago.

To us it is not surprising that ideas based on human psychology go against the grain for all but the most open-minded of saucer buffs. But your "Smear" editor has often seen the shades of Freud and Jung lurking (figuratively!) in the night sky; and to us it would not come as a great

surprise or disappointment if ufology and psychology turn out to be more closely allied than is presently suspected.

Further footnote to the above: Prof. Persinger's theories, as presented at the recent MUFON conference, were also previously unknown to us. It seems, quite frankly, that we haven't been paying enough attention to all that is new in serious UFO research. Might we also suggest that Larson and Persinger exchange information or work together, if they don't already do so. Their approaches to the UFO subject seem to be interrelated to a large degree!

LETTERS TO YE OLDE EDITOR:

MARCELLO TRUZZI, of "The Zetetic Scholar", writes as follows in regard to the 6/15/82 "Smear":

"Just read 'Saucer Smear', and though I appreciate your nice comments re CSAR, you insist on pitting CSAR against CSICOP when that is not truly the case. I realize that Phil Klass views it that way, but he is simply wrong. (1) Bob Sheaffer is a Resource Consultant to CSAR as you are. Oberg and Klass were both sent applications and invited. No word back from Oberg on that, but I hope he will eventually want to be included. Same for Klass. (2) My Senior Consultants to CSAR include several CSICOP Fellows, including Abell and Hyman from the CSICOP Executive Council. (3) Since Consultants to CSAR disagree with each other, how can they in any sense 'follow' me on anomaly matters? Being a consultant only means that you are listed in the CSAR Directory, and are accepted by CSAR as having expertise worth sharing. Being a Senior Consultant only means support for the broad goals of CSAR (which do not contradict the goal statement of CSICOP).

"I want to build bridges between proponents of anomalies and their critics. By writing of CSAR as though it were in opposition to CSICOP, that suggests differences that really are not relevant. A few CSICOP Fellows like Klass may perceive CSAR as competition, but that is false and unfortunate. My critical editorial in 'Zetetic Scholar' #9 is merely that: my own opinion. CSAR stands for dialogue and not for agreement with me."

On the other hand, PHIL KLASS writes as follows:

"Dear Jim (Commander-in-Chief) Moseley:

"Marcello Truzzi's Center for Scientific Anomalies Research (CSAR) deserves a plug in 'Saucer Smear' for he needs to bolster his pitifully small number of subscribers to CSAR's publication, 'Zetetic Scholar'. (My information is that CSICOP has 20 times as many subscribers to its publication, 'The Skeptical Inquirer', and the latter all are paid!)

"Probably most of your readers will feel more comfortable with 'Zetetic Scholar' than with 'The Skeptical Inquirer'. After all, CSAR's Associate Director is Ron Westrum, a long-time 'UFO-believer' judging from his position statement on page 396 of 'The Encyclopedia of UFOs'.

"Truzzi professes to be 'neutral' and 'open-minded' on many paranormal claims, ranging from astrology to UFOs. But the record shows that

Aliens die like flies, boy sets record

FORT SMITH, Ark. (UPI) — A 12-year-old walked into a store, plunked a quarter into a "Defenders" video game and, 19 hours and thousands of destroyed aliens later, walked away with a world record score.

Mark Jennings of Fort Smith scored more than 20 million points on that one quarter before he

stopped playing about 7 a.m. yesterday. That game, started around noon Sunday, eclipsed the previous record mark set by a Chicago teenager who had played 16 hours and scored more than 19 million points.

Jennings stayed home from school yesterday to catch up on his sleep.

"I've been playing a lot lately," he said. *TRENTON TIMES 2-16-82*

Social Renegades!
Superior Mutants!

The NORMALS
form a vast conspiracy
against the
"DIFFERENT."

Humans are trying to make you believe you're one of them. Don't slip back — become an OverMan, kick ass, earn big \$\$\$ through the insane SubGenius Foundation. Nothing like it anywhere.

Vengeance pamphlet \$1.
The SubGenius Foundation
Box 140306, Dallas, TX 75214

he joins and participates in those organizations that promote claims of the paranormal, while he resigned from the one (CSICOP) that questions such claims. (At last report both Truzzi and Westrum were members of the Scientific Advisory Board of CUFOS. And Truzzi has been praised by the editors of FATE Magazine on several occasions.)

"This, of course, does not prove anything. After all, Fidel Castro claims to be a leader of the non-aligned countries. I accept his word that he is simply an agrarian reformer with no closer ties to the Soviet Union than to the U.S.

"Finally, speaking only for myself (and not for CSICOP), I wish to stress that while I am a skeptic about UFOs, astrology and so-called psychic phenomena, I am very open-minded as to the existence of Fairies, Leprechauns and even Santa Claus. And I try to keep an open mind on the possibility that $2 + 2 = 5$, and that there is a malfunction in my Texas Instruments hand-calculator...."

Editor's Note: In times past, Fairies have been found even in our own State Department! And it's a good thing that non-subscriber Klass keeps an open mind about the possibility of 2 plus 2 equaling 5. Many years ago, we appeared on a New York City radio show with the late George Adamski, who explained to the listening audience that 2 plus 2 could equal either 3, 4, or 5 - "depending on the circumstances". Thus he too had an open mind!

Non-subscriber GARY POSNER, M.D. writes as follows:

"Although I enjoy your lively and often humorous publication, I do resent your allegation that Marcello Truzzi's new CSAR consists of 'open-minded' scientific skeptics, whereas CSICOP consists of 'the other kind'.

"Phil Klass, as a senior editor of a major aerospace publication, dares not close his mind to the remote possibility of UFO reality, lest he risk being scooped on what would be the biggest story of the century.

"Bob Sheaffer, a science writer, trained in astronomy, is fascinated by the possibility of ETI, as is Jim Oberg, a NASA analyst and renowned Soviet space authority. Both were invited to participate at the prestigious 1979 SETI conference at the University of Maryland.

"And who in his right mind could accuse Isaac Asimov of not being 'open-minded'?"

"As for myself, a mere associate member of CSICOP, I was long a member of the original NICAP, and served as Maryland's representative to their Youth Council during my teenage years. Nothing (short of a date with Connie Selleca of TV's 'Greatest American Hero') could excite me more than to be persuaded that UFOs do indeed represent visits from an alien civilization, or some equally tantalizing phenomenon (as I naively believed in my youth).

"Methinks you confuse 'open-mindedness' with 'naivete' (not to mention your curious categorization of Hynek, Truzzi, et al. as 'skeptically-inclined', Truzzi's editorial notwithstanding)."

We don't know Asimov personally, but are good friends with the above-named "Terrible Trio" of skeptics, and we still say that they throw out the baby with the bathwater, so to speak! - Editor.

DR. THORNTON PAGE of NASA writes:

"Like many of your non-subscribers, I have been worried by your inability to staple together the several pages of 'Saucer Smear'. Without a staple, the pages tend to disperse on the floor and get mixed up with the family dog, who doesn't appreciate their fundamental importance....

"You reviewed a couple of books in the June 15th 'Smear', and I'd like to add a couple. This comes about because I maintain my library by reviewing books for less lofty journals than 'Saucer Smear' (such as 'Sky & Telescope', 'Science', etc.) and because a young man phoned me from California asking 'what scientists really think about UFOs?' (I think he's

writing a PhD thesis, so I referred him to Jim Oberg.)

"I had to admit that I began to doubt ETH after reading 'Life in the Universe', MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1982, 461 pp., \$12.50, which reviews NASA's SETI Conference (Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence) at Ames Research Center in 1979. Along with Frank Drake and Ronald Bracewell, 44 experts trace the formation of galaxies, stars, and planets, the evolution of life through single cells, multicelled organisms, and on to homo sapiens who developed intelligence through encephalization, and started listening for radio signals from extraterrestrial cultures. The narrow restrictions on planet conditions allowing the formation of living cells, and the 'pure luck' involved in animal evolution, tells me that our industrial civilization on Earth is unique, at least in the Milky Way Galaxy. Anthropologists can point out that only one of three tries succeeded on Earth; mankind evolved in Africa, but not in the isolated Australian or American continents, which ended up with kangeroos and (we can't read his handwriting on this one word! - Editor.)

"The other book is 'Planetary Science' by S. R. Taylor, Lunar & Planetary Institute, Houston, 1982, 481 pp., \$39.95, which covers almost everything known about the Moon, Mercury, Venus, Mars, the Galilean satellites of Jupiter, and the larger satellites of Saturn, as well as basic information on all the other planets and what's in between them. The author, an Australian geochemist expert on Apollo lunar samples, considers planets of other stars and UFOs, which he doubts are interstellar or interplanetary spacecraft. I tend to agree."

JON BECKJORD of Project Bigfoot writes:

"(In regard to your remarks on Page One of the last 'Smear') - quite honestly I was standing beside the screen and did not see the chipmunk-type head appearing in the upper right-hand quadrant of the otherwise dark screen. I had nothing to do with it. I had no cohorts hidden away projecting this image from afar. It seems to me the most logical answer is that the three-and-a-half-D powers-that-be attended the lectures without paying for tickets. Since I was not involved, it does seem logical that you have witnessed a genuine miracle. What more can I say?

"As for the Pilichis/Rome, Ohio events - they are so complex and wide of scope that I will have to write you a separate report on the subject..."

Editor's Note: Later Beckjord did send us a full report on the Bigfoot scene in Rome (Ohio) - far too long for inclusion here. In brief, he did speak to most of the unnamed witnesses mentioned in Pilichis' book "Night Siege", and they did back up Pilichis' narrative. And, (as also mentioned in our write-up in the June 15th "Smear") Pilichis was unfriendly & paranoid in his attitude toward Beckjord, and did try to have him arrested. Beckjord adds that Pilichis is (figuratively) sitting on a key piece of evidence - white Bigfoot blood collected at the shoot-'em-up farm location. - On the other hand, McIntyre of MARCEN has returned to Rome and still backs Pilichis against Beckjord. In a recent postcard to us, McIntyre describes Beckjord's activities in Rome as "mad, mad, mad". Stay tuned!

BILL RETOFF graces us with:

"I have read your latest 'Smear' with the usual interest. However, it's Bigfoots, not Bigfeet! If I went into town and gave ten people the hotfoot, I would have given ten hotfoots - not ten hotfeet. The same reasoning applies to Bigfoot!

"And furthermore, a single Bigfoot (if they exist at all) has 2 feet, so actually a single Bigfoot should actually be a Bigfeet to begin with, see?

"Not that it matters much, anyway. The terms 'Bigfoots' and 'Bigfeet' are so high up on the yuck meter (as Maxwell would say in 'Greatest Ameri-

can Hero') that they render the whole subject of Bigfootology absurd in the first place to a lot of people...."

Sasquash, anyone? - Editor.

CHARLES WILHELM of OUFOIL writes:

"....McIntyre calls our report (on 'Tomato Man') a phantom publication, full of gross omissions, as well as outright lies, which was written by mysterious authors who wouldn't furnish him with a copy. There are more copies of our report in circulation than there are real MARCEN members! As for his attempt to obtain a copy of the report, we sent a note to him requesting an exchange of our report for a copy of 'Night Siege'. For some strange reason, he and his stooge in Rome, Ohio are trying their hardest to keep their publication from us. It seems they are afraid to let us read it. Perhaps they are hiding something and feel that we'll find out what it is. I would say that they are the ones who have no guts, and if the story was true, they would welcome anyone to check out their facts!

"The reason no author was attached to the report is that the report was an OUFOIL project. There were 39 different agencies and 10 individuals who helped make up the report. McIntyre is correct in saying that he supplied us with some of the information. However, he failed to state (in his letter to 'Smear') that we pointed out flaws in the case to him first, and in his attempt to keep suspicion off of him, he did check out a few items of which he sent us the results. We even double-checked that information. As a matter of fact, I can now give your zine an exclusive as to who the 'Mr. X' is, listed in the acknowledgement section of the report. It's no other than McIntyre himself! After all it was his fantasy story!...."

BOB SHEAFFER of the dreaded CSICOP organization writes as follows:

"Billy Moore is wrong (as usual) when he states that 'it has only taken (Sheaffer) a year and a half to dredge up this one feeble bit of inconsistency' (sic: apparently one need not know how to spell to become a 'professional member' of the 'National Writers Club'.)

"If Billy had been reading 'The Skeptical Inquirer' he would know that I had published in the Spring, 1981 issue a review of his book on the Roswell yarn, in which I spent almost three full pages listing the errors and absurdities found in the book (including, on page 10 of the book, swallowing a British April Fool's Day joke, 'Alternative Three' of Anglia TV). Then in the Spring, 1982 issue of 'Skeptical Inquirer', I told how Billy and his partner-in-literary-crime, Charles Berlitz, had been caught swallowing as apparent fact (on p. 102-103) yet another April Fool's Day joke, this one from Germany in 1950. (To be fooled once by an April Fool's Day hoax requires great stupidity. To be fooled twice requires stupidity above and beyond the call of Duty.) Thus my recent contribution in 'Smear' was just the latest in a long list of things wrong with 'The Roswell Incident'.

"It is very true that no newspaper story is to be taken as 'gospel' unless it is abundantly corroborated. Yet it is curious that B. & M. are prepared to disregard a first-hand interview with Mac Brazel published days or weeks after the incident, yet are prepared to accept second-hand accounts given thirty years afterward. Alas, second-hand accounts often fit in far better with third-rate tales."

Residents Growing Accustomed to Living Near Monster

JUDITH STARCHILD (weird name!) writes as follows:

"Dear Mr. Moseley:

"Although I'm not sure if there is any point to this letter other than to add one more opinion to the Shallcross case, I would like to hope that those investigators researching this case care enough to uncover all aspects of it....without exploitation etched into their pre-determined lines of thought.

"I seriously question whether an adequate character background check was pursued in full. I also investigated this case (for MUFON and APRO) and I also found it appealing and convincing while hearing the encounter from Shallcross. But to my surprise and dismay, my character reference check cut the crucial hole in Shallcross' claims.

"I interviewed the state trooper, Burkhardt, at length - as well as the Augusta Rescue Squad members and personal friends of Shallcross. In regard to the police officer, he held no faith in the account. But most important, he informed me that the three calls logged in the police record were received between 4:00 a.m. and 4:01 a.m. - one being made by an unidentified tractor trailer driver who said he was calling from a pay phone in Augusta. Do you know that this phone is an easy two miles distance from the alleged 'plane crash' site? Please tell me how a truck driver can manage to get his truck two miles down the road as well as place the call, within one minute!

"Conversations with people who knew Shallcross well proved to be even more convincing, that all was not quite 'kosher'. I was told by an Augusta Rescue Squad worker that Shallcross went to a Washington, D.C. library a good month before the 'encounter' and researched the pyramid story, and was talking about ITZAMNA (an ancient Indian space god) in the presence of this person!

"If I continued to add all the remarks and stories I received from various people, I would be literally re-writing my report; and neither time nor space permits me to do so at this time. I just happen to think that people should be presented with all the facts, and not just certain specific slants. Without Truth, there is no such thing as UFO study, which relies completely on the documentation and presentation of the investigator!"

In view of the above info., together with Ken Behrendt's letter in the last "Smear", we must seriously consider the possibility (or the probability!) that the Shallcross case is nothing more than a hoax. - Editor.

DON STRACHAN writes as follows: (Don is editor of a hilarious but seldom seen intellectual hippie-ish zine called "Light Times".)

"Howdy, Herr Moseley -

"The latest unidentified flying object is time; here's a new issue (of 'Smear') and I haven't commented on the last one yet.

"The Leary-Liddy debates were inevitable, as I observed ten years ago in 'Light Times' (of which a new issue finally impends) that these two nemeses are really each other's shadow. The tragic flaw of each is that he's an artist who sees himself as an actor. Never underestimate Leary! Just when you think he's 'a shell of his former self', as you so indelicately put it, he outs with new pearls.

"Question: Why hasn't Bill Spaulding started a self-glorifying comic book about himself? Answer: He knows the rest of the UFO world will stick pins in his word balloons.

"Your new ish is the funniest yet. I love your droll 'objectivity'. Question: How can a 3½-D ufologist ever hope to spot a 4-D Bigfoot?

"Thanks to Ken Behrendt for his 'name = fate' revelation. With your subconscious telling you you're Moses one minute and Robert E. Lee the next, no wonder you became Supreme Commander...."